

INTUITIONISTIC ANTI L - FUZZY HX SEMIRING

K.H. Manikandan¹, G. Sabarinathan², M.S. Muthuraman³, M. Sridharan⁴,
R. Muthuraj⁵

^{1,2,3,4}Department of Mathematics, PSNA College of Engineering and Technology, Dindigul
- 624 622, Tamilnadu, India. E-mail: manimaths7783@gmail.com
sabarinathan.g@gmail.com
ramanpsna70@gmail.com
msridharan1972@gmail.com

⁵PG & Research Department of Mathematics, H.H.The Rajah's College, Pudukkottai – 622 001,
Tamilnadu, India. E-mail: rmr1973@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT : In this paper, we define the notion of intuitionistic anti L- fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring and some of their related properties are investigated. We define the necessity and possibility operators of an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy subset of an intuitionistic anti L- fuzzy HX semiring and discuss some of its properties.

Keywords: intuitionistic fuzzy set, fuzzy HX ring, intuitionistic L- fuzzy HX semiring, intuitionistic anti L- fuzzy HX semiring, anti product in intuitionistic anti L- fuzzy HX semiring.

INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Zadeh [8] introduced the concept of fuzzy subset μ of a set X as a function from X into $[0, 1]$ and studied their properties. With the successful upgrade of algebraic structure of group many researchers considered the algebraic structure of some other algebraic systems in which ring was considered as first. In 1988, Professor Li Hong Xing [4] proposed the concept of HX ring and derived some of its properties, then Professor Zhong [2,3] gave the structures of HX ring on a class of ring. R.Muthuraj et.al [7]., introduced the concept of fuzzy HX ring. In this paper we define a new algebraic structure of an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring and investigate some related properties. We define the necessity and possibility operators of an intuitionistic fuzzy subset of an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring and discuss some of its properties.

Preliminary

In this section, we site the fundamental definitions that will be used in the sequel. Throughout this paper, $R = (R, +, \cdot)$ is a Ring, e is the additive identity element of R and xy , we mean $x \cdot y$

2.1 Definition [4]

Let R be a ring. In $2^R - \{\emptyset\}$, a non-empty set $\mathfrak{A} \subset 2^R - \{\emptyset\}$ with two binary operation $+$ and \cdot is said to be a HX ring on R if \mathfrak{A} is a ring with respect to the algebraic operation defined by

- i. $A + B = \{a + b / a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$, which its null element is denoted by Q , and the negative element of A is denoted by $-A$.
- ii. $AB = \{ab / a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$,
- iii. $A(B + C) = AB + AC$ and $(B + C)A = BA + CA$.

3. Intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring

In this section we define the concept of an intuitionistic anti fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring and discuss some related results.

3.1 Definition

Let R be a ring. Let $H = \{ \langle x, \mu(x), \eta(x) \rangle / x \in R \}$ be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy set defined on a ring R , where $\mu : R \rightarrow [0,1]$, $\eta : R \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that $0 \leq \mu(x) + \eta(x) \leq 1$. Let $\mathfrak{R} \subset 2^R - \{\emptyset\}$ be a HX ring. An intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset $\lambda_H = \{ \langle A, \lambda_\mu(A), \lambda_\eta(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \text{ and } 0 \leq \lambda_\mu(A) + \lambda_\eta(A) \leq 1 \}$ of \mathfrak{R} is called an intuitionistic L-fuzzy HX semiring of \mathfrak{R} or an intuitionistic L-fuzzy semiring induced by H if the following conditions are satisfied.

For all $A, B \in \mathfrak{R}$,

- i. $\lambda_\mu(A + B) \geq \lambda_\mu(A) \wedge \lambda_\mu(B)$
- ii. $\lambda_\mu(AB) \geq \lambda_\mu(A) \wedge \lambda_\mu(B)$
- iii. $\lambda_\eta(A + B) \leq \lambda_\eta(A) \vee \lambda_\eta(B)$
- iv. $\lambda_\eta(AB) \leq \lambda_\eta(A) \vee \lambda_\eta(B)$.

where $\lambda_\mu(A) = \min\{ \mu(x) / \text{for all } x \in A \subseteq R \}$ and $\lambda_\eta(A) = \max\{ \eta(x) / \text{for all } x \in A \subseteq R \}$.

3.2 Definition

Let R be a ring. Let $H = \{ \langle x, \mu(x), \eta(x) \rangle / x \in R \}$ be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy set defined on a ring R , where $\mu : R \rightarrow [0,1]$, $\eta : R \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that $0 \leq \mu(x) + \eta(x) \leq 1$. Let $\mathfrak{R} \subset 2^R - \{\emptyset\}$ be a HX ring. An intuitionistic L-fuzzy subset $\lambda_H = \{ \langle A, \lambda_\mu(A), \lambda_\eta(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \text{ and } 0 \leq \lambda_\mu(A) + \lambda_\eta(A) \leq 1 \}$ of \mathfrak{R} is called an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of \mathfrak{R} or an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy semiring induced by H if the following conditions are satisfied. For all $A, B \in \mathfrak{R}$,

- i. $\lambda_\mu(A + B) \leq \lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B)$
- ii. $\lambda_\mu(AB) \leq \lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B)$
- iii. $\lambda_\eta(A + B) \geq \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)$
- iv. $\lambda_\eta(AB) \geq \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)$.

where $\lambda_\mu(A) = \max\{ \mu(x) / \text{for all } x \in A \subseteq R \}$ and $\lambda_\eta(A) = \min\{ \eta(x) / \text{for all } x \in A \subseteq R \}$.

3.2 Remark

For an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring λ_μ of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} , the following result is obvious. For all $A, B \in \mathfrak{R}$,

- i. $\lambda_\mu(A) \geq \lambda_\mu(0)$ and $\lambda_\mu(A) = \lambda_\mu(-A)$,
- ii. $\lambda_\mu(A - B) = 0$ implies that $\lambda_\mu(A) = \lambda_\mu(B)$.
- iii. $\lambda_\eta(A) \leq \lambda_\eta(0)$ and $\lambda_\eta(A) = \lambda_\eta(-A)$,
- iv. $\lambda_\eta(A - B) = 0$ implies that $\lambda_\eta(A) = \lambda_\eta(B)$.

3.3 Theorem

Let G and H be any two intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets on R . Let γ_G and λ_H be any two intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semirings of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} then their union, $\gamma_G \cup \lambda_H$ is also an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} .

Proof

Let $G = \{ \langle x, \alpha(x), \beta(x) \rangle / x \in R \}$ and $H = \{ \langle x, \mu(x), \eta(x) \rangle / x \in R \}$ be any two intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets defined on a ring R .

Then, $\gamma_G = \{ \langle A, \gamma_\alpha(A), \gamma_\beta(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \}$ and $\lambda_H = \{ \langle A, \lambda_\mu(A), \lambda_\eta(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \}$ be any two intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semirings of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} . Then,

$$\gamma_G \cup \lambda_H = \{ \langle A, (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(A), (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \}$$

Let $A, B \in \mathfrak{R}$

- i.
$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(A+B) &= \gamma_\alpha(A+B) \vee \lambda_\mu(A+B) \\ &\leq \{ \gamma_\alpha(A) \vee \gamma_\alpha(B) \} \vee \{ \lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B) \} \\ &= \{ \gamma_\alpha(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(A) \} \vee \{ \gamma_\alpha(B) \vee \lambda_\mu(B) \} \\ &= (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(A) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(B) \\ (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(A+B) &\leq (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(A) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(B). \end{aligned}$$
- ii.
$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(AB) &= \gamma_\alpha(AB) \vee \lambda_\mu(AB) \\ &\leq \{ \gamma_\alpha(A) \vee \gamma_\alpha(B) \} \vee \{ \lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B) \} \\ &= \{ \gamma_\alpha(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(A) \} \vee \{ \gamma_\alpha(B) \vee \lambda_\mu(B) \} \\ &= (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(A) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(B) \\ (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(AB) &\leq (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(A) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cup \lambda_\mu)(B) \end{aligned}$$
- iii.
$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(A+B) &= \gamma_\beta(A+B) \wedge \lambda_\eta(A+B) \\ &\geq \{ \gamma_\beta(A) \wedge \gamma_\beta(B) \} \wedge \{ \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B) \} \\ &= (\gamma_\beta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(A)) \wedge (\gamma_\beta(B) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)) \\ &= (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(A) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(B) \\ (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(A+B) &\geq (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(A) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(B) \end{aligned}$$
- iv.
$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(AB) &= \gamma_\beta(AB) \wedge \lambda_\eta(AB) \\ &\geq \{ \gamma_\beta(A) \wedge \gamma_\beta(B) \} \wedge \{ \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B) \} \\ &= (\gamma_\beta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(A)) \wedge (\gamma_\beta(B) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)) \\ &= (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(A) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(B) \\ (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(AB) &\geq (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(A) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cap \lambda_\eta)(B) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\gamma_G \cup \lambda_H$ is an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} .

3.4 Theorem

Let G and H be any two intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets on R . Let γ_G and λ_H be any two intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semirings of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} then their intersection, $\gamma_G \cap \lambda_H$ is also an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} .

Proof

Let $G = \{ \langle x, \alpha(x), \beta(x) \rangle / x \in R \}$ and $H = \{ \langle x, \mu(x), \eta(x) \rangle / x \in R \}$ be any two intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets defined on a ring R .

Then, $\gamma_G = \{ \langle A, \gamma_\alpha(A), \gamma_\beta(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \}$ and $\lambda_H = \{ \langle A, \lambda_\mu(A), \lambda_\eta(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \}$ be any two intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semirings of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} .

$$\gamma_G \cap \lambda_H = \{ \langle A, (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(A), (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \}$$

Let $A, B \in \mathfrak{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i. } (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(A+B) &= \gamma_\alpha(A+B) \wedge \lambda_\mu(A+B) \\ &\leq \{ \gamma_\alpha(A) \vee \gamma_\alpha(B) \} \wedge \{ \lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B) \} \\ &= \{ \gamma_\alpha(A) \wedge (\lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B)) \} \vee \{ \gamma_\alpha(B) \wedge (\lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B)) \} \\ &= \{ [\gamma_\alpha(A) \wedge \lambda_\mu(A)] \vee [\gamma_\alpha(A) \wedge \lambda_\mu(B)] \} \vee \{ [\gamma_\alpha(B) \wedge \lambda_\mu(A)] \vee [\gamma_\alpha(B) \wedge \lambda_\mu(B)] \} \\ &\leq [\gamma_\alpha(A) \wedge \lambda_\mu(A)] \vee [\gamma_\alpha(B) \wedge \lambda_\mu(B)] \\ &= (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(A) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(B) \\ (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(A+B) &\leq (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(A) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(B) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii. } (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(AB) &= \gamma_\alpha(AB) \wedge \lambda_\mu(AB) \\ &\leq \{ \gamma_\alpha(A) \vee \gamma_\alpha(B) \} \wedge \{ \lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B) \} \\ &= \{ \gamma_\alpha(A) \wedge (\lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B)) \} \vee \{ \gamma_\alpha(B) \wedge (\lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B)) \} \\ &= \{ [\gamma_\alpha(A) \wedge \lambda_\mu(A)] \vee [\gamma_\alpha(A) \wedge \lambda_\mu(B)] \} \vee \{ [\gamma_\alpha(B) \wedge \lambda_\mu(A)] \vee [\gamma_\alpha(B) \wedge \lambda_\mu(B)] \} \\ &\leq [\gamma_\alpha(A) \wedge \lambda_\mu(A)] \vee [\gamma_\alpha(B) \wedge \lambda_\mu(B)] \\ &= (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(A) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(B) \\ (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(AB) &\leq (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(A) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(B) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{iii. } (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(A+B) &= \gamma_\beta(A+B) \vee \lambda_\eta(A+B) \\ &\geq \{ \gamma_\beta(A) \wedge \gamma_\beta(B) \} \vee \{ \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B) \} \\ &= \{ \gamma_\beta(A) \vee (\lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)) \} \wedge \{ \gamma_\beta(B) \vee (\lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)) \} \\ &= \{ [\gamma_\beta(A) \vee \lambda_\eta(A)] \wedge [\gamma_\beta(A) \vee \lambda_\eta(B)] \} \wedge \{ [\gamma_\beta(B) \vee \lambda_\eta(A)] \wedge [\gamma_\beta(B) \vee \lambda_\eta(B)] \} \\ &\geq [\gamma_\beta(A) \vee \lambda_\eta(A)] \wedge [\gamma_\beta(B) \vee \lambda_\eta(B)] \\ &= (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(A) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(B) \\ (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(A+B) &\geq (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(A) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(B) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{iv. } (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(AB) &= \gamma_\beta(AB) \vee \lambda_\eta(AB) \\ &\geq \{ \gamma_\beta(A) \wedge \gamma_\beta(B) \} \vee \{ \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B) \} \\ &= \{ \gamma_\beta(A) \vee (\lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)) \} \wedge \{ \gamma_\beta(B) \vee (\lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)) \} \\ &= \{ [\gamma_\beta(A) \vee \lambda_\eta(A)] \wedge [\gamma_\beta(A) \vee \lambda_\eta(B)] \} \wedge \{ [\gamma_\beta(B) \vee \lambda_\eta(A)] \wedge [\gamma_\beta(B) \vee \lambda_\eta(B)] \} \\ &\geq [\gamma_\beta(A) \vee \lambda_\eta(A)] \wedge [\gamma_\beta(B) \vee \lambda_\eta(B)] \\ &= (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(A) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(B) \\ (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(AB) &\geq (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(A) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(B) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\gamma_G \cap \lambda_H$ is an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} .

3.5 Definition

Let $G = \{ \langle x, \alpha(x), \beta(x) \rangle / x \in R \}$ and $H = \{ \langle x, \mu(x), \eta(x) \rangle / x \in R \}$ be any two intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets defined on a ring R . Let $\mathfrak{R}_1 \subset 2^{R_1} - \{ \phi \}$ and $\mathfrak{R}_2 \subset 2^{R_2} - \{ \phi \}$ be any two HX rings.

Let $\gamma_G = \{ \langle A, \gamma_\alpha(A), \gamma_\beta(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \}$ and $\lambda_H = \{ \langle A, \lambda_\mu(A), \lambda_\eta(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \}$ be any two intuitionistic L-fuzzy subsets of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} , then the anti product of γ_G and λ_H is defined as

$$(\gamma_G \times \lambda_H) = \{ \langle (A,B), (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(A,B), (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(A, B) \rangle / (A, B) \in \mathfrak{R}_1 \times \mathfrak{R}_2 \},$$

where, $(\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(A, B) = \gamma_\alpha(A) \wedge \lambda_\mu(B)$, for all $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{R}_1 \times \mathfrak{R}_2$,
 $(\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(A, B) = \gamma_\beta(A) \vee \lambda_\eta(B)$, for all $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{R}_1 \times \mathfrak{R}_2$.

3.6 Theorem

Let G and H be any two intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets of \mathfrak{R}_1 and \mathfrak{R}_2 respectively. Let $\mathfrak{R}_1 \subset 2^{\mathfrak{R}_1} - \{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathfrak{R}_2 \subset 2^{\mathfrak{R}_2} - \{\emptyset\}$ be any two HX rings. If γ^G and λ^H are any two intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semirings of \mathfrak{R}_1 and \mathfrak{R}_2 respectively then, $\gamma^G \times \lambda^H$ is also an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring $\mathfrak{R}_1 \times \mathfrak{R}_2$.

Proof

Let $G = \{ \langle x, \alpha(x), \beta(x) \rangle / x \in \mathfrak{R} \}$ and $H = \{ \langle x, \mu(x), \eta(x) \rangle / x \in \mathfrak{R} \}$ be any two intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets defined on a ring R.

Then, $\gamma_G = \{ \langle A, \gamma_\alpha(A), \gamma_\beta(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \}$ and $\lambda_H = \{ \langle A, \lambda_\mu(A), \lambda_\eta(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \}$ be any two intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semirings of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} . Then,

$$(\gamma_G \times \lambda_H) = \{ \langle (A,B), (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(A,B), (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(A, B) \rangle / (A, B) \in \mathfrak{R}_1 \times \mathfrak{R}_2 \},$$

where, $(\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(A, B) = \gamma_\alpha(A) \wedge \lambda_\mu(B)$, for all $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{R}_1 \times \mathfrak{R}_2$,
 $(\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(A, B) = \gamma_\beta(A) \vee \lambda_\eta(B)$, for all $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{R}_1 \times \mathfrak{R}_2$.

Here $C = (A,B)$ and $D = (E,F)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i. } (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(C + D) &= \gamma_\alpha(C+D) \wedge \lambda_\mu(C+D) \\ &\leq \{ \gamma_\alpha(C) \vee \gamma_\alpha(D) \} \wedge \{ \lambda_\mu(C) \vee \lambda_\mu(D) \} \\ &= \{ \gamma_\alpha(C) \wedge (\lambda_\mu(C) \vee \lambda_\mu(D)) \} \vee \{ \gamma_\alpha(D) \wedge (\lambda_\mu(C) \vee \lambda_\mu(D)) \} \\ &= \{ (\gamma_\alpha(C) \wedge \lambda_\mu(C)) \vee (\gamma_\alpha(C) \wedge \lambda_\mu(D)) \} \vee \{ (\gamma_\alpha(D) \wedge \lambda_\mu(C)) \vee (\gamma_\alpha(D) \wedge \lambda_\mu(D)) \} \\ &\leq (\gamma_\alpha(C) \wedge \lambda_\mu(C)) \vee (\gamma_\alpha(D) \wedge \lambda_\mu(D)) \\ &= (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(C) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(D) \\ (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(C + D) &\leq (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(C) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(D). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii. } (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(CD) &= \gamma_\alpha(CD) \wedge \lambda_\mu(CD) \\ &\leq \{ \gamma_\alpha(C) \vee \gamma_\alpha(D) \} \wedge \{ \lambda_\mu(C) \vee \lambda_\mu(D) \} \\ &= \{ \gamma_\alpha(C) \wedge (\lambda_\mu(C) \vee \lambda_\mu(D)) \} \vee \{ \gamma_\alpha(D) \wedge (\lambda_\mu(C) \vee \lambda_\mu(D)) \} \\ &= \{ (\gamma_\alpha(C) \wedge \lambda_\mu(C)) \vee (\gamma_\alpha(C) \wedge \lambda_\mu(D)) \} \vee \{ (\gamma_\alpha(D) \wedge \lambda_\mu(C)) \vee (\gamma_\alpha(D) \wedge \lambda_\mu(D)) \} \\ &\leq (\gamma_\alpha(C) \wedge \lambda_\mu(C)) \vee (\gamma_\alpha(D) \wedge \lambda_\mu(D)) \\ &= (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(C) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(D) \\ (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(CD) &\leq (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(C) \vee (\gamma_\alpha \cap \lambda_\mu)(D) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{iii. } (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(C+D) &= \gamma_\beta(C+D) \vee \lambda_\eta(C+D) \\ &\geq \{ \gamma_\beta(C) \wedge \gamma_\beta(D) \} \vee \{ \lambda_\eta(C) \wedge \lambda_\eta(D) \} \\ &= \{ \gamma_\beta(C) \vee (\lambda_\eta(C) \wedge \lambda_\eta(D)) \} \wedge \{ \gamma_\beta(D) \vee (\lambda_\eta(C) \wedge \lambda_\eta(D)) \} \\ &= \{ [\gamma_\beta(C) \vee \lambda_\eta(C)] \wedge [\gamma_\beta(C) \vee \lambda_\eta(D)] \} \wedge \{ [\gamma_\beta(D) \vee \lambda_\eta(C)] \wedge [\gamma_\beta(D) \vee \lambda_\eta(D)] \} \\ &\geq (\gamma_\beta(C) \vee \lambda_\eta(C)) \wedge (\gamma_\beta(D) \vee \lambda_\eta(D)) \\ &= (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(C) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(D) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(C+D) \geq (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(C) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(D) \\
 \text{iv. } & (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(CD) = \gamma_\beta(CD) \wedge \lambda_\eta(CD) \\
 & \geq \{\gamma_\beta(C) \wedge \gamma_\beta(D)\} \vee \{\lambda_\eta(C) \wedge \lambda_\eta(D)\} \\
 & = \{\gamma_\beta(C) \vee (\lambda_\eta(C) \wedge \lambda_\eta(D))\} \wedge \{\gamma_\beta(D) \vee (\lambda_\eta(C) \wedge \lambda_\eta(D))\} \\
 & = \{(\gamma_\beta(C) \vee \lambda_\eta(C)) \wedge (\gamma_\beta(C) \vee \lambda_\eta(D))\} \wedge \{(\gamma_\beta(D) \vee \lambda_\eta(C)) \wedge (\gamma_\beta(D) \vee \lambda_\eta(D))\} \\
 & \geq (\gamma_\beta(C) \vee \lambda_\eta(C)) \wedge (\gamma_\beta(D) \vee \lambda_\eta(D)) \\
 & = (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(C) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(D) \\
 & (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(CD) \geq (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(C) \wedge (\gamma_\beta \cup \lambda_\eta)(D)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\gamma_G \times \lambda_H$ is an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} .

3.7 Definition

Let H be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy set of R. Let $\mathfrak{R} \subset 2^R - \{\emptyset\}$ be a HX ring. Let λ_H be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy set of \mathfrak{R} . We define the following “necessity” and possibility” operations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \square \lambda_H &= \{ \langle A, \lambda_\mu(A), 1 - \lambda_\mu(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \} \\
 \diamond \lambda_H &= \{ \langle A, 1 - \lambda_\eta(A), \lambda_\eta(A) \rangle / A \in \mathfrak{R} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

3.8 Theorem

Let H be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy set on R. Let λ_H be an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} then $\square \lambda_H$ is an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} .

Proof

Let λ_H be an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} . Then,

- i. $\lambda_\mu(A+B) \leq \lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B)$
- ii. $\lambda_\mu(AB) \leq \lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B)$
- iii. $\lambda_\eta(A+B) \geq \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)$
- iv. $\lambda_\eta(AB) \geq \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_\mu(A+B) &\leq \lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B) \\
 1 - \lambda_\mu(A+B) &\geq 1 - (\lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B)) \\
 &\geq (1 - \lambda_\mu(A)) \wedge (1 - \lambda_\mu(B))
 \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$1 - \lambda_\mu(A+B) \geq (1 - \lambda_\mu(A)) \wedge (1 - \lambda_\mu(B))$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_\mu(AB) &\leq \lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B) \\
 1 - \lambda_\mu(AB) &\geq 1 - (\lambda_\mu(A) \vee \lambda_\mu(B)) \\
 &\geq (1 - \lambda_\mu(A)) \wedge (1 - \lambda_\mu(B))
 \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$1 - \lambda_\mu(AB) \geq (1 - \lambda_\mu(A)) \wedge (1 - \lambda_\mu(B))$$

Hence, $\square \lambda_H$ is an intuitionistic anti-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} .

3.9 Theorem

Let H be an intuitionistic L-fuzzy set on R. Let λ_H be an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} then $\diamond \lambda_H$ is an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} .

Proof

Let λ_H be an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} . Then,

- i. $\lambda_\mu (A + B) \leq \lambda_\mu (A) \vee \lambda_\mu (B)$
- ii. $\lambda_\mu (AB) \leq \lambda_\mu (A) \vee \lambda_\mu (B)$
- iii. $\lambda_\eta(A+B) \geq \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)$
- iv. $\lambda_\eta(AB) \geq \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)$.

.Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\eta (A + B) &\geq \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B) \\ 1-\lambda_\eta(A + B) &\leq 1 - (\lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)) \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda_\eta (A)) \vee (1 - \lambda_\eta (B)) \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$1-\lambda_\eta (A + B) \leq (1 - \lambda_\eta (A)) \vee (1 - \lambda_\eta (B))$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\eta (AB) &\geq \lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B) \\ 1-\lambda_\eta (AB) &\leq 1 - (\lambda_\eta(A) \wedge \lambda_\eta(B)) \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda_\eta (A)) \vee (1 - \lambda_\eta(B)) \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$1-\lambda_\eta (AB) \leq (1 - \lambda_\eta (A)) \vee (1 - \lambda_\eta(B))$$

Hence, $\diamond \lambda_H$ is an intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring of a HX ring \mathfrak{R} .

Conclusion

In this paper we introduce the concept of intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX semiring and discuss the basic results on HX ring. Further investigation may be in intuitionistic anti L-fuzzy HX ideals on HX ring which will give a new horizon in the further study.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to all our friends for their help to make this paper a successful one.

REFERENCES

- [1] Atanassov.K.T, intuitionistic fuzzy sets,Fuzzy Sets and System 20 (1986) ,pp 87-96.
- [2] Bing-xueYao and Yubin-Zhong, Upgrade of algebraic structure of ring, Fuzzy information and Engineering (2009)2:219-228.
- [3] Bing-xueYao and Yubin-Zhong, The construction of power ring, Fuzzy information and Engineering (ICFIE),ASC 40,pp.181-187,2007.
- [4] Li Hong Xing, HX ring, BUSEFAL, 34(1) 3-8, January 1988.
- [5] Muthuraj.R, Manikandan K.H., Intuitionistic Q-fuzzy HX subgroup and $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ level sub HX groups, CIIT International DOI – FS 122011010.
- [6] Muthuraj.R, Sridharan.M, Bipolar fuzzy HX group and its level sub HX groups, International Journal of Mathematical Archive,5(1), 230-239,2014.
- [7] Muthuraj.R, Muthuraman.M.S, Intutionistic fuzzy HX ring, IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM), Volume 10,Issue 4,ver II, 3-12 , Aug 2014.
- [8] Zadeh.L.A., Fuzzy sets, Information and control,8,338-353