

On Fundamental Algebraic Structures on Direct Product of Complex Anti $\omega - Q$ –Fuzzy Subrings

Dr. A. Prasanna¹, M. Premkumar^{*2} and Dr. S. Ismail Mohideen³

¹Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous), (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India.

Email: lapi_jmc@yahoo.co.in

^{*2}Research Scholar, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous), (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University),

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Kongunadu College of Engineering and Technology, Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India.

Email: *2mprem.maths3033@gmail.com

³Principal, Head and Associate Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous), (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India.

Email: 3simohideen@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

On this paper, we introduce idea of Cartesian product of π -Complex Anti $\omega - Q$ – fuzzy sets and discussed with various algebraic aspects. We show that essential Algebraic systems on Direct Product of Complex Anti $\omega - Q$ –Fuzzy Subrings and their results.

Keywords: Fuzzy Set, Complex Fuzzy set, Fuzzy Subring, Q-Fuzzy Subring, π -complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy sets and complex anti $\omega - Q$ – fuzzy subrings.

I Introduction

The idea of fuzzy sets turned into added means by Zadeh [10] in 1965. Bhakat S K et.al.[1], defined the belief of Fuzzy subrings and ideals redefined in 1996. In 1990, Fuzzy subgroups and anti Fuzzy subgroups were initiated by Biswas R[2]. Buckley J J[3], commenced the idea of fuzzy complex numbers in 1989. Muhammad et.al[4], proposed the idea of On a few characterization of Q-complex fuzzy sub-rings in 2021. In 2002, commenced new concept of Complex fuzzy sets by Ramot D et.al[5]. Solairaju A and Nagarajan R [8] explored a new structure and construction of Q-fuzzy groups in 2009. Sither Selvam P M et al. [9] described the notion of some properties of anti Q-fuzzy subgroups in 2014. Rasuli R [7] discussed Q-fuzzy subring with respect to t -norm in 2018. Zhang G Q [11], explored a new structure and construction of operation properties and δ -equalities of complex fuzzy sets. In 2003, Complex fuzzy logic brought by way of Ramot D et.al[6].

In this paper, we define the Cartesian product of π -complex anti $\omega - Q$ – fuzzy sets and prove that the results. We also define Cartesian product of complex anti $\omega - Q$ – fuzzy subrings and discuss its properties.

II Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [10]:

A fuzzy set A of a nonempty set P is a mapping
$$A : P \rightarrow [0, 1].$$

Definition 2.2 [1]:

A fuzzy set A of a ring S is called a FSR of S if

1. $A(m - n) \geq \min\{A(m), A(n)\}, \quad \forall m, n \in S$
2. $A(mn) \geq \min\{A(m), A(n)\}, \quad \forall m, n \in S$

Definition 2.3 [8]:

Let Q and S be any two sets. Then the mapping $A: S \times Q \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a Q -Fuzzy set in S

Definition 2.4 [7]:

Let Q -Fuzzy set A of ring S is said to be Q -Fuzzy subring if the following conditions are,

1. $A(m - n, q) \geq \min\{A(m, q), A(n, q)\}$, for all $m, n \in S$ and $q \in Q$.
2. $A(mn, q) \geq \min\{A(m, q), A(n, q)\}$, for all $m, n \in S$ and $q \in Q$

Definition 2.5 [5]:

A complex fuzzy set A of universe of discourse P is identify with the membership function $\theta_A(m) = \eta_A(m)e^{i\varphi_A(m)}$ and is defined as

$$\theta_A: P \rightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$$

This membership function receive all membership value from the unit disc on plane, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, both $\eta_A(m)$ and $\varphi_A(m)$ are real valued such that $\eta_A(m) \in [0,1]$ and $\varphi_A(m) \in [0,2\pi]$.

Definition 2.6 [11]:

Let A and B two complex fuzzy sets of set P . The Cartesian product of complex fuzzy sets A and B is defined as

$$\theta_{A \times B}(m, n) = \eta_{A \times B}(m, n)e^{i\varphi_{A \times B}(m, n)} = \min\{\eta_A(m), \eta_B(n)\}e^{i\min\{\varphi_A(m), \varphi_B(n)\}}$$

Definition 2.7 [2]:

Let A be fuzzy subset of a group H . Then A is said to an anti-fuzzy subgroup if $A(u^{-1}v) \leq \max\{A(u), A(v)\}$, for all $u, v \in H$.

Definition 2.8 [9]:

A function $A: H \times Q \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a anti-QFSG of a group H if $A(uv^{-1}, q) \leq \max\{A(u, q), A(v, q)\}$, for all $u, v \in H$ and $q \in Q$.

III Fundamental Algebraic Structures on Direct Product of Complex anti $\omega - Q$ –Fuzzy Subrings

In this content, We use the concept of complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy subring to outline direct product of π -complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy subring . We prove that Cartesian product of two complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy subring is complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy subring and illustrate their results.

Definition: 4.1

Let S and Q be any two nonempty sets and $\omega \in [0,1]$ and A be a $Q - Fuzzy$ subset of a set G . The fuzzy set A^ω of G is called the Anti $\omega - Q - Fuzzy$ subset of G is defined by

$$A^\omega(\theta, q) = \max\{A(\theta, q), \omega\}, \forall \theta \in S \text{ and } q \in Q.$$

Definition 4.2:

Let A and B be any two π -complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy sets of sets S_1 and S_2 respectively. The Cartesian product of π -complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy sets A^ω and B^ω is defined as $A^\omega_\pi \times B^\omega_\pi((m, n), q) = \max\{A^\omega_\pi(m, q), B^\omega_\pi(n, q)\}$, for all $m \in S_1$ and $n \in S_2$ and $q \in Q$

Note:

Let A^ω and B^ω be two π - Q - complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy subring of S_1 and S_2 , respectively. Then $A^\omega \times B^\omega$ is π -anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy subring of $S_1 \times S_2$.

Definition 4.3

Let A^ω and B^ω two complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy subring of sets S_1 and S_2 . The Cartesian product of complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy subrings A^ω and B^ω is defined by a function

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, n), q) &= \eta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, n), q)e^{i\varphi_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, n), q)} \\ &= \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \eta_{B^\omega}(n, q)\}e^{i\max\{\varphi_{A^\omega}(m, q), \varphi_{B^\omega}(n, q)\}} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.4:

Let A^ω and η_{B^ω} be two complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy subrings of S_1 and S_2 respectively. Then $A^\omega \times B^\omega$ is complex anti $\omega - Q$ –fuzzy subring of $S_1 \times S_2$.

Proof: Let $m, x \in S_1$ and $n, y \in S_2$ be an elements and $q \in Q$. Then $(m, n), (x, y) \in S_1 \times S_2$. Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, n) - (x, y), q) &= \theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m - x, n - y), q) \\ &= \eta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m - x, n - y), q) e^{i\varphi_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m-x, n-y), q)} \\ &= \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m - x, q), \eta_{B^\omega}(n - y, q)\} e^{i\max\{\varphi_{A^\omega}(m-x, q), \varphi_{B^\omega}(n-y, q)\}} \\ &= \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m - x, q) e^{i\varphi_{A^\omega}(m-x, q)}, \eta_{B^\omega}(n - y, q) e^{i\varphi_{B^\omega}(n-y, q)}\} \\ &= \max\{\theta_{A^\omega}(m - x, q), \theta_{B^\omega}(n - y, q)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\max\{\theta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \theta_{A^\omega}(x, q)\}, \max\{\theta_{B^\omega}(n, q), \theta_{B^\omega}(y, q)\}\} \\ &= \min\{\max\{\theta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \theta_{B^\omega}(n, q)\}, \max\{\theta_{A^\omega}(x, q), \theta_{B^\omega}(y, q)\}\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, n) - (x, y), q) \leq \max\{\theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, n), q), \theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((x, y), q)\}$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, n)(x, y), q) &= \theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}(mx, ny, q) \\ &= \eta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}(mx, ny, q) e^{i\varphi_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}(mx, ny, q)} \\ &= \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(mx, q), \eta_{B^\omega}(ny, q)\} e^{i\max\{\varphi_{A^\omega}(mx, q), \varphi_{B^\omega}(ny, q)\}} \\ &= \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(mx, q) e^{i\varphi_{A^\omega}(mx, q)}, \eta_{B^\omega}(ny, q) e^{i\varphi_{B^\omega}(ny, q)}\} \\ &= \max\{\theta_{A^\omega}(mx, q), \theta_{B^\omega}(ny, q)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\max\{\theta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \theta_{A^\omega}(x, q)\}, \max\{\theta_{B^\omega}(n, q), \theta_{B^\omega}(y, q)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{\theta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \theta_{B^\omega}(n, q)\}, \max\{\theta_{A^\omega}(x, q), \theta_{B^\omega}(y, q)\}\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, n)(x, y), q) \leq \max\{\theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, n), q), \theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((x, y), q)\}$

Thus conclude the proof.

Corollary 4.5:

Let $A^\omega_1, A^\omega_2 \dots A^\omega_n$ be complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subrings of $S_1, S_2, \dots S_n$ respectively. Then $A^\omega_1 \times A^\omega_2 \times \dots \times A^\omega_n$ is complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subring of $S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n$.

Remark 4.6:

Let A^ω_1 and A^ω_2 be two complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subrings of S_1 and S_2 respectively and A^ω_1 and A^ω_2 be complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subring of $S_1 \times S_2$. Then it not compulsory both A^ω_1 and A^ω_2 should be complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subring of S_1 and S_2 respectively.

Example 4.7:

Let $\bar{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ and $S = \{e, a, b, c\}$ be two rings. Where S is ring and 2×2 matrices over \bar{Z}_2 with 2nd row has 0. where $e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

$\bar{Z}_2 \times S = \{(0, e), (0, a), (0, b), (0, c), (1, e), (1, a), (1, b), (1, c)\}$. Then two $\omega - Q$ -CFSTRs A_1 and A_2 of \bar{Z}_2 and S is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \left\{ \left((0, q), 0.3e^{i\frac{\pi}{12}} \right), \left((1, q), 0.2e^{i\frac{\pi}{15}} \right) \right\}, \text{ where } q \in Q \\ A_2 &= \left\{ \left((e, q), 0.4e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}} \right), \left((a, q), 0.55e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} \right), \left((a^2, q), 0.43e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}} \right), \left((a^3, q), 0.5e^{i\pi} \right) \right\} \\ (A_1 \times A_2)(m, q) &= \begin{cases} 0.3e^{i\frac{\pi}{12}}, & \text{for all } m \in \{(0, e), (0, a), (0, b), (0, c)\} \\ 0.2e^{i\frac{\pi}{15}}, & \text{for all } m \in \{(1, e), (1, a), (1, b), (1, c)\} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here, $A^\omega_1 \times A^\omega_2$ is complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subring of $Z_2 \times S$ and A^ω_1 is complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subring of Z_2 . But A^ω_2 is not a complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subring of S .

of H_1 . But A^ω_2 is not a complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subring of S_2 .

Theorem 4.8:

Let A^ω and B^ω be two complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy sets of rings S_1 and S_2 , respectively. If $A^\omega \times B^\omega$ is a complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subring of $S_1 \times S_2$, then the conditions hold are,

- (i) $\eta_{A^\omega}(0, q) \leq \eta_{B^\omega}(n, q)$ and $\varphi_{A^\omega}(0, q) \leq \varphi_{B^\omega}(n, q)$, for all $n \in S_2$ and $q \in Q$
- (ii) $\eta_{B^\omega}(0', q) \leq \eta_{A^\omega}(m, q)$ and $\varphi_{B^\omega}(0', q) \leq \varphi_{A^\omega}(m, q)$, for all $m \in S_1$ and $q \in Q$

Where 0 and 0' are identities of S_1 and S_2 respectively.

Proof: Let $A^\omega \times B^\omega$ be a complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subring of $S_1 \times S_2$. Suppose the two conditions (1) and (2) do not hold. Then $\exists m \in S_1 \& n \in S_2 \& q \in Q$:

- (i) $\eta_{A^\omega}(0, q) \leq \eta_{B^\omega}(n, q)$ and $\varphi_{A^\omega}(0, q) \leq \varphi_{B^\omega}(n, q)$
- (ii) $\eta_{B^\omega}(0', q) \leq \eta_{A^\omega}(m, q)$ and $\varphi_{B^\omega}(0', q) \leq \varphi_{A^\omega}(m, q)$

Consider $\theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, n), q) = \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \eta_{B^\omega}(n, q)\} e^{i \max\{\varphi_{A^\omega}(m, q), \varphi_{B^\omega}(n, q)\}}$
 $\leq \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(0, q), \eta_{B^\omega}(0', q)\} e^{i \max\{\varphi_{A^\omega}(0, q), \varphi_{B^\omega}(0', q)\}} = \theta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((0, 0'), q)$

But $A^\omega \times B^\omega$ is complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subring. The following two conditions must hold.

- (i) $\eta_{A^\omega}(0, q) \leq \eta_{B^\omega}(n, q)$ and $\varphi_{A^\omega}(0, q) \leq \varphi_{B^\omega}(n, q)$, for all $n \in S_2$ and $q \in Q$
- (ii) $\eta_{B^\omega}(0', q) \leq \eta_{A^\omega}(m, q)$ and $\varphi_{B^\omega}(0', q) \leq \varphi_{A^\omega}(m, q)$, for all $m \in S_1$ and $q \in Q$

Theorem 4.9:

Let A^ω and B^ω complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subrings of S_1 and S_2 such that $\eta_{B^\omega}(0', q) \leq \eta_{A^\omega}(m, q)$ and $\varphi_{B^\omega}(0', q) \leq \varphi_{A^\omega}(m, q)$ for all $m \in S_1$ and $0'$ is identity of S_2 and $q \in Q$. If $A^\omega \times B^\omega$ is anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subgroup of $S_1 \times S_2$, then A^ω is complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subring of S_1 .

Proof:

Let A^ω and B^ω be two complex anti $\omega - Q$ -fuzzy subrings of S_1 and S_2 . Then $(m, 0'), (x, 0') \in S_1 \times S_2$. By given condition $\eta_{B^\omega}(0', q) \leq \eta_{A^\omega}(m, q)$ and $\varphi_{B^\omega}(0', q) \leq \varphi_{A^\omega}(m, q)$, for all $m, x \in S_1$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{A^\omega}(m-x, q) &= \eta_{A^\omega}(m-x, q) e^{i \varphi_{A^\omega}(m-x, q)} \\ &= \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m-x, q) e^{i \varphi_{A^\omega}(m-x, q)}, \eta_{B^\omega}(0'-0', q) e^{i \varphi_{B^\omega}(0'-0', q)}\} \\ &= \{\eta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, 0') - (x, 0'), q)\} e^{i \max\{\varphi_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, 0') - (x, 0'), q)\}} \\ &\leq \max\{\eta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, 0'), q), \eta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((x, 0'), q)\} e^{i \max\{\varphi_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, 0'), q), \varphi_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((x, 0'), q)\}} \\ &= \\ &\max\{\max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \eta_{B^\omega}(0', q)\}, \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(x, q), \eta_{B^\omega}(0', q)\}\} e^{i \max\{\max\{\varphi_{A^\omega}(m, q), \varphi_{B^\omega}(0', q)\}, \max\{\varphi_{A^\omega}(x, q), \varphi_{B^\omega}(0', q)\}\}} \\ &= \\ &\max\{\max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \eta_{A^\omega}(m, q)\}, \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(x, q), \eta_{A^\omega}(x, q)\}\} e^{i \max\{\max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \eta_{A^\omega}(m, q)\}, \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(x, q), \eta_{A^\omega}(x, q)\}\}} \\ &= \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \eta_{A^\omega}(x, q)\} e^{i \max\{\varphi_{A^\omega}(m, q), \varphi_{A^\omega}(x, q)\}} \\ &= \min\{\theta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \theta_{A^\omega}(x, q)\} \\ \text{Thus, } \theta_{A^\omega}(m-x, q) &\leq \max\{\theta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \theta_{A^\omega}(x, q)\} \\ \text{Also, } \theta_{A^\omega}(mx, q) &= \eta_{A^\omega}(mx, q) e^{i \varphi_{A^\omega}(mx, q)} \\ &= \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(mx, q) e^{i \varphi_{A^\omega}(mx, q)}, \eta_{B^\omega}(0'0', q) e^{i \varphi_{B^\omega}(0'0', q)}\} \\ &= \{\eta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, 0')(x, 0'), q)\} e^{i \max\{\varphi_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, 0')(x, 0'), q)\}} \\ &\leq \max\{\eta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, 0'), q), \eta_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((x, 0'), q)\} e^{i \max\{\varphi_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((m, 0'), q), \varphi_{A^\omega \times B^\omega}((x, 0'), q)\}} \\ &= \\ &\max\{\max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \eta_{B^\omega}(0', q)\}, \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(x, q), \eta_{B^\omega}(0', q)\}\} e^{i \max\{\max\{\varphi_{A^\omega}(m, q), \varphi_{B^\omega}(0', q)\}, \max\{\varphi_{A^\omega}(x, q), \varphi_{B^\omega}(0', q)\}\}} \\ &= \\ &\max\{\max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \eta_{A^\omega}(m, q)\}, \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(x, q), \eta_{A^\omega}(x, q)\}\} e^{i \max\{\max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \eta_{A^\omega}(m, q)\}, \max\{\eta_{A^\omega}(x, q), \eta_{A^\omega}(x, q)\}\}} \\ &= \varphi_{A^\omega} \\ &= \max\{\theta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \theta_{A^\omega}(x, q)\} \\ \text{Thus, } \theta_{A^\omega}(mx, q) &\leq \max\{\theta_{A^\omega}(m, q), \theta_{A^\omega}(x, q)\} \end{aligned}$$

References

1. Bhakat S K and Das P, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol.81, No. 3, pp.383-393, 1996.
2. Biswas R, Fuzzy subgroups and anti Fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy sets and systems, 35, pp.121-124, 1990.
3. Buckley J J, (1989) fuzzy complex numbers, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol.33, no.3, pp.333 - 345, 1989.
4. Muhammad Gulzar, Dilshad Alghazzawi, Haris Mateen M and Premkuma Mr, On some characterization of Q-complex fuzzy sub-rings, Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 22, no. 3, pp.295-305, 2021.
5. Ramot D, Milo R, Friedman M, Kandel A. Complex fuzzy sets, IEEE Transaction on Fuzzy Systems, vol.10, no.2, pp.450 - 461, 2002.
6. Ramot D, Friedman M, Langholz G, Kandel A, Complex fuzzy logic, IEEE Transaction on Fuzzy Systems, vol.11 no.4, pp.171 - 186, 2003.

7. Rasuli R, Characterization of Q -fuzzy subrings (Anti Q -fuzzy subrings) with respect to a T -norm (T -conorm), Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences, vol.39, pp.827 – 837, 2018.
8. Solairaju A, and Nagarajan R, A new structure and construction of Q - fuzzy groups. Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, vol.4, no.1 pp.23 – 29, 2009.
9. Sithar Selvam P M , Priya T , Nagalakshmi K T and Ramachandran T, On some properties of anti Q -fuzzy subgroups, Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 22, No. 1, pp. 1-10, 2014.
10. Zadeh L A, Fuzzy sets. Inform. and Control. Vol.8, no.3, pp.338 – 353, 1965.
11. Zhang G Q, Dillon T S, Cai K Y, J. Ma, J. Lu (2009) Operation properties and δ -equalities of complex fuzzy sets. International Journal of Approximate Reasoning vol.50, no.8, pp.1227 – 1249, 2009.