International Journal of Modern Agriculture, Volume 10, No.2, 2021
ISSN: 2305-7246

New Agricultural Management Technologies by Farmers in India

Amar Shankar[1], Nandini Datta[2]

[1] Food Technology, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Jain (Deemed-to-be University), Karnataka
Email - nandini.dutta@jainuniversity.ac.in

Abstract

Agricultural progress is seen as one of the major field in which one can outlive poverty in any of the developing country. Though the acceptance rate for agriculture is increasing with every brink of time passing. Agricultural planning is fraught with danger and uncertainty. After analyzing the deep roots of the agricultural risks in the country which usually stands out to be the several utility theories and risk analysis and their diversion are counted to be affirmative and strongly dependent on the climatic changes and all the necessary aspects of crop yielding and deformation and soil erosion and many more financial, ecological aspects to keep track of it. A Farmer is usually expected to have a mixed gathering marketing strategies and portfolio are expected to minimize the risks and have maximum profit returns from any type of yields. This paper examines and provides different methods to calculate the current situation of the knowledge provided by the producers, cultivators for managing price and risk revenues for different agricultural farming commodities, it also put different agricultural managing technologies tactics in several developing countries into consideration. This paper also put the reviews of the prior studies into for better technologic understandings for their adoption. This study also enlightens economic, institutional, technological and specific style farming style adaption of technologies. Farmers' views of emerging technologies may be used in future acceptance studies, expanding the number of variables used.

Keywords: Adoption, Agricultural, Farmers, Finance, Managerial, Production, technologies.

Introduction

Agriculture is crucial to economic development, food security, poverty reduction, and rural development. For about 2.5 billion humans depends on agriculture for their primary source of income in any of the developing countries [1]. Smallholder farming is a key source of growth for accomplishing the millennial Development Objectives, which are aimed to diminish hunger and poverty by almost half by the end of the year 2015. The majority of smallholder farmers, on the other hand, rely on conventional methods of production, which has resulted in lower productivity[2]. Businesses face a variety of threats, and they have options for dealing with them. Creating a realistic menu of options and describing their advantages and costs is a difficult job. Furthermore, there are numerous methods for assessing risk. Many companies use financial instruments to control risks, and different risk-management techniques may be justified based on theoretical assessments[3]. Academics, on the other hand, have no knowledge of organizational risks managing strategies and the ways they apply to these theories. Understanding of manufacturers' risks managing rehearses are limited, nonetheless much studies are made on the practices and theories regarding agrarian companies managing price's risks.

Agrarian technologies extension has been divided into two modes as a result of the growth of information technologies and mobile depots. On location instruction, technological trainings, science as well as technical demonstrations, and media's coverage are all examples of traditional agricultural technologies extension methods. Some new farming tools extension modes entails dissemination of technical knowledge, the online resolution farmers' technical issues, and the provision of agricultural technologies support to farmers with the help of new media. Traditional farming technologies addition methods are increasingly unable to meet farmers' knowledge needs for reliable, correct, real timing, easy, and modified communication due to a lack of agricultural technologies promoters and their low quality. In principle, new agrarian equipment addition modes that overcomes limitation conventional agricultural technologies will meet farmers' "number" as well as "quality" of agricultural technologies knowledge needs.

1.1. Risk and Indecision in Agriculture

Regardless of the fact that every categorization is subjective, it's a good idea to start by identifying the main level of variation as well as risk for farmers. The first is known as manufacturing indecision: in farming, the quantity and variety of yield which will upshot from a given packet of contributions is usually unknown, implying that perhaps the technical efficiency is probabilistic. These complexity stems from the fact that uncontrollable variables like weather play some major roles in farming development. The effect of such uncontainable aspects are amplified by time playing crucial roles in farming assembly as extended manufacture lags are determined by the biological process which underpin crop producing of the crops and animal development. While there are similarities in additional production practices, it's only fair to assume, farming producing's are characterized by production uncertainty.

Farming practices are often characterized by price volatility. As when aforementioned biotic productions lags, productions' conclusions should've occupied well beforehand the concluding products being realized, consequently the marketplace price due to outputs are usually unknown along the duration of those conclusions must be complete. Because of inherent instability regarding agricultural markets, price uncertainty is all the more important. Demands vacillations, those who are especially significant after large portions of productions are intended for several exports, can be the cause of such volatility. However, as previously mentioned, production uncertainty leads to price uncertainty because prices must change to clear the demand. Due to the extreme foregoing biochemical production lags, productions decisions should've made before hand to the realization of the last product, so the market value for both the output is largely undefined when these settling on choices.

When longer-term economic issues are taken into account, supplementary foundations of indecision become important to agriculture deaccessioning. Agricultural production is characterized by technological instability, which is correlated along the production's evolutions techniques which might render semi-fixed preceding investing out-of-date. Clearly, the unpredictability of new knowledge creation has an effect on producing technicalities in every industry. That makes it furthermore important to farming, though, what technical advances are the research and development activities' product conducting away (for example, through businesses providing contributions for agriculture), making inexpensive farmers enslaved participants in the processes.

In agriculture, policies instability is likewise a major factor. Economic policies, once again, have an influence on all industries due to their effects on items like interest rate, taxation, exchange rates, legislation, and public goods' provision, among other things. Thus far, since farming in most of the countries is explained as a complicated arrangement of management interferences, and as for the needs of changing these policies' interventions has remained strong in lately.

1.2. Uncertainty in Decision-Making

Individual choice economic models must rely on the presumption of prudence on decision-makers' part. The basic assumptions, of choices of ordering on product packages which gratifies the completeness and transitivity consistency requirements. Customer selections can be defined as in terms of usefulness function, (barely preventable and essentially mild analytical generalization) which increases the analytical power of the assumptions, when these basic levelheadedness assumes are combined along with supposition of continuities.

With minor changes to the original assumptions, option under uncertainty could be defined in this basic setting. As Debreu proposed, the traditional selection going to order of modernist consumption theories could've been extended over public depending product packages. The research can later endure short of regard also for possibility over numerous environmental jurisdictions [4]. While quite a strategy has worked effectively for certain problems, a précised frame work of inquiry is favored in such numerous circumstances, comprising submissions over interests in agriculture investors [5]. One should have influential demonstration over decisions taking over risk through specifically making sure of the completely exclusivity natural alternate arbitrary significances. As a result, and these models of decisions beneath indecision emerges, which is possibly the greatest significant achievements in contemporary financial examination over individual's behaviors. Despite the fact that there are a number of simple instructions of this device available.

It is a well-known fact that farm economic output can vary significantly, even though they are working under largely similar production conditions. Differences in economic outcomes are often due to differences in farmer management[6]. Additionally, the conventional aspects of creation of land, manual laboring, and capital, management capacity can be regarded as a different, fourth factor of production. So, what exactly is this unique output factor? Despite a multitude of books and articles in the fields of financial management and decision theory, the management process remains largely a black box, and management ability is rarely established and calculated.

1.3. Adapting to New Managerial technologies

Improving management technologies' adopters upsurge their production, resulting in steady socioeconomic growth. Higher earnings and lower poverty have been connected over implementation of better agrarian expertise, as well as better-quality nutritious position, low essential diet amounts, and greater than before job chances and retributions for dispossessed drudges [7]. The introduction of better-quality technicalities is an important influence in Asian countries' progress with the green revolution. Non-adopters, on the other hand, are unable to make ends meet as a result of socio-economic stagnation and deprivation.

As a result, some new agrarian management technologies which improves justifiable fiber and food production is crucial for long-term food security and economic growth. As a consequence, since the early twentieth century, the complexities of technological change in agriculture have been a subject of intensive research[8]. Smallholder farmers in developing countries are especially interested in these technologies as they're limited for several means, making those a target to growth struggles. These agriculturalists, like, farm and live in zones with lower as well as intermittent rainfall as well as infertile soil. [9].

Furthermore, groundwork and organizations for example input, irrigating, and markets related to any products, credit, and leeway service are generally underdeveloped. Many researches on invention and the adopting emerging technicalities in emerging nations have been performed over the years. In addition, the implementation process and effect of new managerial technologies on smallholder farmers were investigated. However, in spite of a significant way out on scarceness in almost every emerging nation, modern agrarian skills are frequently implemented gradually as well as many features of implementation continue badly taken. This research consequently attempts to analyze different styles of other studies made over implementation of newer managerial technologies as well as specific issue that is accountable for relaxed rate of these technologies implementations[10], [11].

There exist a numerous significant analytical explanations why farming managerial technologies can improve farm household well-being, but how can be certain that adopters' better well-being is due to technologies adoption rather than non-adopters'? In an ideal world, experimental data will provide us with knowledge on the counterfactual scenario, allowing them to solve the causal inference problem. Since this isn't the case, they will quantify the direct "welfare effect" of technologies using income variance across rural households. To do so, however, avoid certain cross-sectional inference statistical pitfalls when attempting to separate the technologies effects over social financial elements of households returns. The concluding is a concern because this relates to larger common problems of" self-selections," in which house-hold (partially) decide whether or not to implement newer technologies, and decisions might be motivated by the benefits of doing so for them.

In other words, the relationship amongst technologies as well as scarceness which is probable to be 2-way street, with technologies helping to alleviate poverty alleviation as well as poverty– which is closely linked to human assets structures such as improved health and educational standards – encouraging the implementation of new technologies. Contextually, establishing the fundamental impact of agriculture management technologies on scarceness is difficult, then it is important if they want to fathom how pro-poor agriculture enhancement can get. The aim of this article is to provide an overview of key aspects of management capacity, address challenges and opportunities in measuring and collecting data on management capacity, review empirical studies that link management capacity to farm performance, and identify weak spots and make recommendations for improvement.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The first consideration in determining agricultural managerial technologies adoption by farmers is if adopting any distinct condition with binary reaction is even variable[12].That is, the concept is based on if the agriculturalist is a technologies establisher or not, with standards ranging from zero to one, or another reply being a continuous variable [13]. every solution is determined by the situation's appropriateness[12]. While adopting newer technologies by farmers, many researchers utilizes a simplistic dichotomous variable method. This approach is important but not appropriate, since the dichotomous replies represents level of knowledge's levels of improved technologies other than real adoptions [14]. As a result, researchers should define this concept - technologies adoption - explicitly so that they can establish accurate methods to assess it.

Akudugu et al., for example, divided the factors that influence agricultural managerial technologies acceptance in to 3 categories: institutional factors financial, and social [15]. Farmers' individualities, farm's structures, organized individualities, and decision-making structures, as well as informational, economic, and ecological, policy, and natural resource characteristics, are all factors that affecting technologies adoption in the social, economic, and physical categories. While there are various categories for categorizing the factors that affect technologies adoption, there are none clearer distinction amongst variable within all categories.

Categorizing is made to accommodate latest technologies under investigation, the venue, and the researcher's preferences, as well as client requirements. For example, some researchers classify a farmer's level of education as human capital, though other classify it as house hold exact factors. Some studies categorize these variables into various classes. The factor swaying the adopting of agriculture managerial technologies will be analyzed within this report, which will be divided into technical, economic, institutional, and household-specific factors which would allow for a thorough examination of how every factor affects acceptance process.

There exists a wealth on literature of factor which influence the acceptance of farming managerial technologies. Decisions of the Farmers on how and if to implementing newer technologies are influenced through the complex relationship amongst the technologies' features and conditions as well as circumstances' variety, according to Loevinsohn et al. Diffusion is the outcome of individual decision to begin consuming newer technologies, resolution that are often based on a trade-off between the unknown advantages of the new innovation and the uncertain costs of implementation[8]. Adapting factor influencing these choices is important both for formalities learning the causes of development and for the producers as well as reasons of similar technicalities.

Technologies' features, requirement for its adoption. Trial ability, or a prospective adopter's ability to trying something on a micro level beforehand fully adoption is considered a significant factor of technologies adopting process[12]. Mignouna et al. found about technologies' characteristics play typical roles in the adopting process while researching determinant of adopting Imazapyr-Resistant maize (IRM) technologies in West of Kenyian areas. Farmer who feel the technologies are compatible within the needs and compatibility with the climate, more auspiciously to embrace because it's successful outlay, they claim. Farmer's perceptions of the technologies' success have a huge impact on their decision to implement them[16]. Farmers' impressions of current paddy varieties characteristics unnatural their own decisions for embracing that significantly. Finally, before any new technologies is presented to farmers, they must involve in their assessment to determine if suitable for those needs [17].

By increasing the dissemination of data among farmers in same village, vocational education has raised the proportion of technological innovation among non-demonstrating households in demonstrating villages. It's important to listen to spillover effects to avoid underestimating the effect of the change agricultural technologies extension mode on growers' technological innovation actions. Agriculturalists had dissimilar chances of aiding with the help of the new managerial technologies leeway modes due to differences in their factor endowments; that is, a distribution effect exists. This is because farmer-to-farmer contact is an effective medium for obtaining managerial technologies knowledge which means, even though more or less farmer don't use any new managerial technologies directly, because other farmers in the same village do, farmers who do not use the new agricultural technologies extension mode will benefit from it through comprehensive communication and

contact; a spillover effect exists. The technological trainings and managerial technologies demonstrations within conventional farming managerial technologies leeway are used to illustrate the spillover effect.

The impacts of newer agricultural managerial technologies leeway style on farmers' managerial technologies adoption behaviors by looking over distribution effect. Grieshop et al. found that the farm's size has little effects on the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) implementation, suggesting that IPM can be spread regardless of the size of the farm[18]. Kariyasa and Dewi et al. also discovered that the size of one's lands' holding had no bearing on the likelihood of adoption [19].

S. Swinton and J. Lowenberg-Deboer looked at overall farm extent rather than crop acreage where the latest technologies are used. Meanwhile entire farmhouse size have effects over adopting, measuring crops property through latest technologies could be one of the better way of predicting the rates as well as adoption's degree [20]. As a result, the entire lands' proportions land appropriate for newer technologies could be the best way to understand technologies adoption in terms of farm size. The net benefit to the farmers from adopting, including using the new technologies' overall costs, is a main element of newer technologies adoptions.

It has been discovered that the expense of introducing agricultural managerial technologies is a barrier on to modern technologies adoptions. For example, seed and fertilizer's removal price subsidies in Sub Saharan African as a result of World Bank-sponsored structural adjustment programs has expanded this constraint[9]. The high cost of technologies has also been identified as a barrier to adoption in studies on the determinants of technologies adoption. According to a report on the determinant of manure as well as fertilizer usage in maize cultivation in Kiambu county, the key constraints to fertilizer adoption are high labor along with other input costs, unavailability of desired packages, and late delivery[21]. Other factors cited as restricting fertilizers and cross seeds adoption in Kenya's Embu County, included the cost of hired labor. When looking at the issues which affects adoptions of better maize ranges in Kenya's coastal lowlands, researchers discovered that high costs and a lack of seeds are two of the most significant factors. It has been shown that off the farm revenue had constructive effects on technologies adoptions. This is because off farms income is an effective strategy for local households in many developed nations to overcome credited constraints.

Off-farm revenue is likely to serve like replacement for money borrowed in local livelihoods where money markets are either missing or ineffective. As per Diiro, off-farm revenue would also provide farmers with investment cash to purchase productivity-enhancing commodities such as improved varieties and herbicides and pesticides or fertilizers[22]. Though, not every innovation has shown any optimistic link amongst off the farms incomes and adoptions. About research on labor- concentrated technologies had found negative link amongst out of the farm adoptions and incomes.

Farmers' chase of off farmhouse incomes could jeopardize their adoption of modern risk and financial management technologies by decreasing the quantity of house hold labor allotted to agriculture originalities. Farmers' human capital is thought to play a major role in their decision to embrace emerging technologies. Most adoption studies have attempted to assess a farmer's human capital by looking at his or her education, age, gender, and household size.

Farmers' education is thought to have any affirmative effects on the decisions to embrace modern technologies. A farmer's abilities of collecting, processing, and using knowledge related to implementation for some newer technologies improves as his education level grows. Meanwhile the above empirical evidence yielded inconsistent findings over the effect of edification on new technologies adoption, further research is required to draw a more consistent conclusion. Age is also thought to play a role in emerging technologies adoption. Farmers who are older are thought to acquire more capability and knowledge of timings as well as are thus improved capability of analyze and manage technologies information than that of the farmer who is younger [19]. Age, on the other hand, has always been established to have some destructive relationships with technologies adoptions. Farmers' risk tolerance increases as they get older, as does their interest in lasting farmhouses investments. Young agriculturalists, subsequently, are lesser risks opposed as well as more probable to experiment with fresh technicalities. Adoptions of hereditarily adapted maize increased with age for younger

farmers as they gained expertise and built up human capital stock, then it decreased through ages to those approaching superannuation.

Genders' problems during the adoption of farming managerial technologies had been studied over a longer time's, and mostly researches are found conflicting evidences about the dissimilar parts women as well as men holds in managerial technologies adopting. There was no important relationship between gender and the likelihood of adopting improved maize in Ghana when researchers looked at the effect of gender on managerial technologies adoptions. They concluded that technologies adoption decisions are largely based on resource access rather than gender, and that if improved maize adoption is based on accessing the lands, labor, capitals, and if male farmers having any good entree of such possessions than lady farmer in a given setting, then the skills would not profit women as well as men in that context. Gender, on the other hand, can have a major impact on certain technologies. Meanwhile the heads of these households are the primarily decisions takers, and males are having more control as well as influences on the essential productions possessions than ladies because of social or cultural customs, gender has an effect on technology adoption.

Gender had an important and affirmative impact over adopting improvised productions of cassava in the regions of Nigeria, according to a report by Obisesan on managerial technologies adoption[23]. His findings concurred with those of Lavison, who found, male cultivators are merely efficient than female farmers for the usage natural fertilizers. The size of a household is simply any measures for laborer availabilities. Large households have capacities to ease the laborer constraint needed during implementing newer technologies, which influences the adoption process[24].

Farmers learn by watching others experiment, according to a standard model. This raises uncertainty about information quality as compared to learning by doing, in part due to less precise information of important balancing contributions (irrigation, soil eminence). As a result, agriculturalists participate in "unfinished learnings," weighing pieces of knowledge according to its importance.

Information regarding specifics of a contacts' activity or the contact's data source can also be allowing the agriculturalist over infer data about the connections' beliefs' meticulousness. Agriculturalists might also keep on holding a variety of belief systems regarding these technologies, making it difficult to specify using a single functionality forms in which go-betweens learning a group of constraints (worldwide learnings). Agriculturalists' personal accounts of the learnings' procedures are better labelled as "areal learnings" technologies [25][26].

3. DISCUSSION

Diffusion is the consequence to a sequence of discrete resolutions for starting to use some newer technologies, conclusions that frequently are based on trade-offs between unknown advantages to newer innovation and the indeterminate costs of implementation. Indulgent about the issues that influence these decisions are critical for either of the economist researching growth determinant and technology drivers as well as disseminators. Personal characteristics along with endowment, imperfect knowledge, risks, complexity, institutional constraint, inputs availabilities, and infrastructures have all been used in economic analyses of technologies adoption in the past. Social networks and learning are two types of factors that affect technologies adoption. The scale of a farm has a big impact on how quickly some new technologies is adopted. The size of a farm will influence and be influenced by the other factors that influence adoption. Since farms' sizes plays such larger roles in adopting, some technology are referred to as scale-dependent. Many studies have found a connection between the size of a farm and the adopting agriculture management technologies. Farmer with larger farms is adopting newer technologies because he will be able to afford dedicate a portion of his lands for experimenting with new technologies, as opposed to the one holding smaller farms.

Land tradeable technology like green house technologies and 0-scraping, amongst few others, can be used by farmers with limited land as an alternate to increase farming productions. Adoption has been shown to have an insignificant or neutral relationship in other research. Farmers may learn about input and output values, output based on input use, past profitability, or the best way to use inputs under some new technologies. In the above

case, 'target input' models presume that modern technologies are 'superior' to older technologies for all farmers if the input selection is right. When it comes to agricultural technologies, this could be an excessively strong assumption, as demonstrated by the high dis-adoption rates seen with many emerging technologies.

The following policy consequences may be taken from the findings of this paper. First, the governments must be paying much devotion to newer agriculture technologies extensions methods and encourage agriculturalists for taking them through active advertising, training, and the distribution of agricultural materials coupons on platforms. Second, agriculture technologies extensions applications and further channels must be able to enhance the content of courses as well as videotapes used for strengthening 2-mode contact with farmer also efficiently recover technological information for agriculture technology with higher information strength. Incentive programs should also be considered too, allows to spread technological acquaintance and data for NUF. Third, since chief and small scale agriculturalists profit the most from new agricultural technologies extension modes, focusing advertising on these two groups of farmers and paying more attention to gathering input through 2 groups of agriculturalists on how to improve service will recover proficiency of new agricultural technology lee way modes.

4. CONCLUSION

Farmers' expectations of a newer technologies are vital prerequisite for adopting. Human specific influences, economic factors, technical and institutional factors have all been shown to affect the adoptions of agricultural managerial technologies. Agricultural managerial technologies' determinant adoption, according to the study, doesn't have similar effects on adopting; other than, the effects varying on the types of technologies being implemented. This study looked back at previous research on the aspects that distress the adoption of agriculture management technologies. Farm size, for example, has been found to have a mixed impacting as a determinant of technologies adoption. Larger farms sizes might have been holding affirmative impact over adopting technologies while also having a negative impact on the adoption of another. To prepare and implement technologies -related initiatives to meet the experiments of dietary productions in emerging nations, it is necessary first understand the aspects that inspire or impede adoptions of agriculture managerial technologies.

As a result, policymakers and developers of new managerial technologies must take into account farmers' needs and the abilities to incorporate managerial technologies to build technologies which are appropriate for these technologies. When dealing with most agricultural economics problems, it is self-evident that uncertainty and risk must be addressed. Economic analysis is subjected to a variety of demands, ranging from pure balanced behaviors theory to the practical of emerging risk managing advices. The economical professions as whole, and their agriculture economics subset in particular, has made numerous contributions in response to this challenge. The paper explores a straight impact over current agriculture managerial technologies leeway modes and additionally analyses the spillover effect and delivery effect of this new mode in order to comprehensively assess the efficacy of this new mode. New advancements in the field of agriculture with the help of AI and new self-learning technologies can boost up the basic form of the traditional practices of agriculture in order to reduce risks and weather, soil and several other aspects of the on which the movement of agriculture is co-related. Such technical advancements will provide a visionary way to be green and eco-friendly.

REFERENCES

1. FAO, World agriculture : towards 2015 / 2030. 2002.
2. J. D. Sachs, "From millennium development goals to sustainable development goals," The Lancet. 2012, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60685-0.
3. G. M. Bodnar, G. S. Hayt, and R. C. Marston, "1998 Wharton Survey of Financial Risk Management by US Non-Financial Firms," Financ. Manag., 1998, doi: 10.2307/3666414.
4. D. Duffie and H. Sonnenschein, "Arrow and General Equilibrium Theory.," J. Econ. Lit., 1989.

5. K. J. Arrow, "The role of securities in the optimal allocation of risk-bearing," Review of Economic Studies. 1964, doi: 10.2307/2296188.

6. J. Hanson, R. Dismukes, W. Chambers, C. Greene, and A. Kremen, "Risk and risk management in organic agriculture: Views of organic farmers," Renew. Agric. Food Syst., 2004, doi: 10.1079/rafs200482.

7. S. Chen and M. Ravallion, "How have the world's poorest fared since the early 1980s?," World Bank Res. Obs., 2004, doi: 10.1093/wbro/lkh020.

8. M. E. Loevinsohn, "Climatic warming and increased malaria incidence in Rwanda," Lancet, 1994, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(94)91586-5.

9. W. Muzari, W. Gatsi, and S. Muvhunzi, "The Impacts of Technology Adoption on Smallholder Agricultural Productivity in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Review," J. Sustain. Dev., 2012, doi: 10.5539/jsd.v5n8p69.

10. O. Bandiera and I. Rasul, "Social networks and technology adoption in Northern Mozambique," Econ. J., 2006, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-0297.2006.01115.x.

11. F. Simtowe et al., "Determinants of agricultural technology adoption: The case of improved pigeonpea varieties in tanzania," Q. J. Int. Agric., 2011.

12. C. R. Doss, "Understanding Farm-level Technology Adoption : Lessons Learned from CIMMYT ' s Micro Surveys in Eastern Africa," 2003.

13. M. Challa and U. Tilahun, "Determinants and Impacts of Modern Agricultural Technology Adoption in West Wollega: The Case of Gulliso District," J. Biol. Agric. Healthc., 2014.

14. R. Rajni, Arora, "A Novel Adoption Index of Selected Agricultural Technologies : Linkages with Infrastructure and Productivity," Agric. Econ. Res. Rev., 2009.

15. M. A. Akudugu, E. Guo, and S. K. Dadzie, "Adoption of Modern Agricultural Production Technologies by Farm Households in Ghana: What Factors Influence their Decisions?," J. Biol. Agric. Healthc., 2012.

16. D. B. Mignouna, V. M. Manyong, J. Rusike, K. D. S. Mutabazi, and E. M. Senkondo, "Determinants of adopting we

17. R. N. and D. R. J. Sinjaa, J. Karugiab, I. Baltenwecka, M. Waithakac, M.D. Mianoc, "Farmer perception of technology and its impact on technology uptake: The case of fodder legumes in Central Kenya Highlands.," African Assoc. Agric. Econ. . Shap. Futur. African Agric. Dev. Role Soc. Sci. . Proc. Inaug. Symp. , 6 to 8 December 2004 , Gd. Regency Hotel , Nairobi , Kenya, 2004.

18. J. I. Grieshop, F. G. Zalom, and G. Miyao, "Adoption and Diffusion of Integrated Pest Management Innovations in Agriculture," Bull. Entomol. Soc. Am., 1988, doi: 10.1093/besa/34.2.72.

19. "ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING ADOPTION OF INTEGRATED CROP MANAGEMENT FARMER FIELD SCHOOL (ICM-FFS) IN SWAMPY AREAS," Int. J. Food Agric. Econ., 2013.

20. S. Swinton and J. Lowenberg-Deboer, "Global adoption of precision agriculture technologies: Who, when and why?," Third Eur. Conf. Precis. Agric., 2001.

21. T. N. Diwani, F. Asch, M. Becker, and F. Mussgnug, "Characterizing farming systems around Kakamega Forest, Western Kenya, for targeting soil fertility-enhancing technologies," J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci., 2013, doi: 10.1002/jpln.201200036.

22. G. M. Diiro, "Impact of Off-farm Income on Agricultural Technology Adoption Intensity and Productivity," Agric. Econ., 2013.

23. A. Obisesan, "Gender Differences In Technology Adoption And Welfare Impact Among Nigerian Farming Households," IDEAS Work. Pap. Ser. from RePEc; St. Louis, 2014.

24. R. J. G. Lamban et al., "Factors affecting farmers' adoption of natural farming technologies in New Albay, Maragusan, Compostela Valley, Philippines," 2011, doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2011.895.19.

25. T. Besley and A. Case, "Modeling technology adoption in developing countries," Am. Econ. Rev., 1993, doi: 10.2307/2117697.

26. L. A. Cameron, "The Importance of Learning in the Adoption of High- Yielding Variety Seeds," Am. J. Agric. Econ., 1999, doi: 10.2307/1244452.