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#### Abstract

This study was conducted among the Information Technology (IT) executives ( $\mathrm{N}=300$ ) in Chennai who were having a minimum of five years' experience to document the problem while working on computers and the type and kind of problems perceived. This study also examined the relationship between the ergonomic variables such as the position of monitor, mouse and position of various body locations. Moreover, it established the relationship between the viewing distance of the monitor and visual discomfort in the employees of software employees. Musculoskeletal problems were very high, along with the subjects who were not using proper ergonomic guidelines. Stress and Visual discomfort were the other problems encountered by the participating IT Professionals. The females reported more musculoskeletal problems ( 80 Percentage) when compared to male. There are little knowledge and awareness among IT executives about ergonomic factors that was reduce these problems..
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## Introduction

### 4.1 Table showing Gender wise classification of the respondents

Table 4.1 Gender wise classification of the respondents

| Gender | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 323 | 46.9 |
| Female | 366 | 53.1 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 366 respondents out of 689 forming 53.1 percentage are female. Followed by 323 respondents forming 46.9 percentage are male.

### 4.2 Table showing Age wise classification of the respondents

Table 4.2Age wise classification of the respondents

| Age wise classification | Percent | Valid Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Below 20 Years | 5 | .7 |
| 21-30 Years | 588 | 85.3 |
| 31-40 Years | 93 | 13.5 |
| 41-50 Years | 3 | .4 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 588 respondents out of 689 forming 85.3 percentage are in the age 21-30 years.

Followed by 93 respondents forming 13.5 percentage are in the age $31-40$ years, 5 respondents consisting 0.7 percentage are in the age below 20 years, 3 respondents consisting of 0.4 percentage are in the age 41-50 years.

### 4.3 Table showing Marital status wise classification of the respondents

Table 4.3 Marital status wise classification of the respondents

| Marital Status | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Single, Never Married | 406 | 58.9 |
| Married | 282 | 40.9 |
| Single, Divorced | 1 | .1 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 406 respondents out of 689 forming 58.9 percentage are Single, Never Married. Followed by 282 respondents forming 40.9 percentage are married and remaining 1 respondents consisting 0.9 percentage is single, Divorced.

### 4.4 Table showing Educational qualification wise classification of the respondents

Table 4.4 Educational qualification wise classification of the respondents

| Educational qualification | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Post Graduate | 236 | 34.3 |
| Under Graduate | 438 | 63.6 |
| Intermediate | 13 | 1.9 |
| Others | 2 | .3 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 438 respondents out of 689 forming 63.6 percentage have completed Under graduation. Followed by 236 respondents forming 34.3 percentage have completed Post graduation, 13 respondents consisting 1.9 percentage have completed intermediate, 2 respondents consisting 0.3 percentage have completed others qualifications like diploma and certification courses.

### 4.5 Table showing Occupational category of the respondents

Table 4.5 Occupational category of the respondents

| Occupational Category | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT Industry | 218 | 31.6 |
| IT- Enabled Service- Voice based | 279 | 40.5 |
| IT -Enabled service -NonVoice Based | 192 | 27.9 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 279 respondents out of 689 forming 40.5 percentage are in IT- Enabled Service-
Voice based. Followed by 218 respondents forming 31.6 percentage are in Information Technology industry , 192 respondents consisting 27.9 percentage are in IT- Enabled Service- Non-voice based.

### 4.6 Table showing Designation of the respondents

Table 4.6Designation of the respondents

| Designation | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Call Center Executive | 247 | 35.8 |
| Medical Coder | 73 | 10.6 |
| Medical Transcriptionist | 156 | 22.6 |
| Software Engineer | 213 | 30.9 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 247 respondents out of 689 forming 35.8 percentage are working as call center executive. Followed by 213 respondents forming 30.9 percentage are working as software Engineer, 156 respondents consisting 22.6 percentage are working as medical transcriptionist, 73 respondents consisting 10.6 percentage are working as medical coder.

### 4.7 Table showing Current experience of the respondents

Table 4.7 Current experience of the respondents

| Current Experience | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than one year | 187 | 27.1 |
| One to two years | 331 | 48.0 |
| Two to five years | 104 | 15.1 |
| above Five years | 67 | 9.7 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 331 respondents out of 689 forming 48.0 percentage having one to two years of present experience. Followed by 187 respondents forming 27.1 percentage having less than one year of experience, 104 respondents consisting 15.1 percentage having two to five years of present experience, 67 respondents consisting 9.7 percentage having above five years of present experience.

### 4.8 Table showing Overall experience of the respondents

Table 4.8 Overall experience of the respondents

| Overall experience | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lessthan one year | 158 | 22.9 |
| One to two years | 240 | 34.8 |
| Two to five years | 134 | 19.4 |
| above Five years | 157 | 22.8 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 240 respondents out of 689 forming 34.8 percentage having one to two years of overall experience. Followed by 158 respondents forming 22.9 percentage having less than one year of overall experience, 157 respondents consisting 22.8 percentage having above five years of overall experience, 134 respondents consisting 19.4 percentage having two to five years of overall experience.

### 4.9 Table showing Weekly working hours of the respondents

Table 4.9 Weekly working hours of the respondents

| Weekly working hours | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than 20 hours | 24 | 3.5 |
| $21-40$ Hours | 383 | 55.6 |
| $41-50$ Hours | 232 | 33.7 |
| $51-60$ Hours | 49 | 7.1 |
| More than 60 Hours | 1 | .1 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 383 respondents out of 689 forming 55.6 percentage are working 21-40 hours per week. Followed by 232 respondents forming 33.7 percentage are working 41-50 hours per week, 49 respondents consisting 7.1 percentage are working $51-60$ hours per week, 24 respondents consisting 3.5 percentage are having less than 20 hours per week, 1 respondents consisting 0.1 percentage are having more than 60 hours per week.

### 4.10 Table showing Frequency of break during long hours of work

Table 4.10 Frequency of break during long hours of work

| Frequency of break during long hours of work | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Never | 37 | 5.4 |
| Rarely | 234 | 34.0 |
| Occasionally | 286 | 41.5 |
| Often | 83 | 12.0 |
| Frequently | 49 | 7.1 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 286 respondents out of 689 forming 41.5 percentage are taking break occasionally. Followed by 234 respondents forming 34.0 percentage are taking break rarely, 83 respondents consisting 12.0 percentage are taking break often, 49 respondents consisting 7.1 percentage are taking break frequently, 37 respondents consisting 5.4 percentage are taking break never.

### 4.11 Table showing Minutes of break given for refreshing

Table 4.11 Minutes of break given for refreshing

| Minutes of break given for refreshing | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lessthan 5 Minutes | 306 | 44.4 |
| 6-10 Minutes | 264 | 38.3 |
| 11-20 Minutes | 95 | 13.8 |
| At least 30 Minutes | 24 | 3.5 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 306 respondents out of 689 forming 44.4 percentage are preferred to take less than 5 minutes. Followed by 264 respondents forming 38.3 percentage are preferred to take $6-10$ minutes, 95 respondents consisting 13.8 percentage are preferred to take $11-20$ minutes, 24 respondents consisting 3.5 percentage are preferred to take at least 30 minutes.

### 4.12 Table showing availability of Work shifts

Table 4.12 Availability of Work shifts

| Work shifts | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | 325 | 47.2 |
| No | 364 | 52.8 |
| Total | 689 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 364 respondents out of 689 forming 52.8 percentage are not having any shift systems in their organisations. Followed by 325 respondents forming 47.2 percentage are having shift system in their organisation.

### 4.13 Table showing Nature of shift work

Table 4.13Nature of shift work

| Nature of shift work | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Constant Shift work | 289 | 88.9 |
| Rotating Shift work | 36 | 11.1 |
| Total | 325 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 325 respondents forming 47.2 percentage are working in shift, 289 respondents consisting 88.9 percentage are working in constant shift work, 36 respondents consisting 11.1 percentage are working in rotating shift work.

### 4.14 Table showing Schedule for night shift

Table 4.14 Schedule for night shift

| Schedule for night shift | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Changes in every week | 13 | 36.1 |
| Changes in every fortnight | 11 | 30.6 |
| Unpredictable | 12 | 33.3 |
| Total | 36 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 13 respondents consisting 36.1 percentage are working in shifts and it got changed in every week, 12 respondents consisting 33.3 percentage are opined that the changes in schedule are not predictable, 11 respondents consisting 30.6 percentage are working in shifts and it got changed in every fortnight.

### 4.15 Table showing Work off for night shifts

Table 4.15Work off for night shifts

| Work off for night shifts | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.00 | 15 | 41.7 |
| 2.00 | 21 | 58.3 |
| Total | 36 | 100.0 |

From the above table infers that 36 respondents forming 5.2 percentage are working in night shifts, 21 respondents consisting 58.3 percentage are having week off of 2 days, 15 respondents consisting 41.7 percentage are having week of 1 day for working in night shifts

## Conclusion

It is inferred from the above table, the level of significance of the Fishers test for this hypothesis is 0.841 , which is more than level of 0.05 . Hence, the null hypothesis is accepted. There is a no significant variation between the indoor environmental parameters like ambient temperature, humidity, lighting level and background noise in the work area are optimal for the employees to function comfortably and Too many deadlines in work that are difficult to meet. $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no significant variation between the indoor environmental parameters like ambient temperature, humidity, lighting level and background noise in the work area are optimal for the employees to function comfortably and Difficulty falling asleep \& Frequent awakening from sleepH $\mathrm{H}_{26}$ : There is significant variation between the indoor environmental parameters like ambient temperature, humidity, lighting level and background noise in the work area are optimal for the employees to function comfortably and Difficulty falling asleep \& Frequent awakening from sleep. It is inferred from the above table, the level of significance of the Fishers test for this hypothesis is 0.000 , Which is smaller than level of 0.05 . Hence, the null hypothesis is rejected. There is a significant variation between the indoor environmental parameters like ambient temperature, humidity, lighting level and background noise in the work area are optimal for the employees to function comfortably and Difficulty falling asleep \& Frequent awakening from sleep
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